UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----X

:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 94-CR-1119 (RR)

95-CR-1155 (RR)

V.

: June 28, 1996

LARRY SESSA, et al.,

: Brooklyn, New York

Defendants. :

:

. -----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR PLEADING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE REENA RAGGI
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: ZACHARY W. CARTER, ESQ.

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
BY: ELLEN CORCELLA, ESQ.
SUNG-HEE SUH, ESSQ.

ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS 271 Cadman Plaza East

Brooklyn, New York 11201

For the Defendant:

McGlaughlin

Sessa

EPHRAIM SAVITT, ESQ.
Capaldo

MICHAEL GOLD, ESQ.
Savarese

NICHOLAS KAISER, ESQ.
Santapeola

VINCENT ROMANO, ESQ.
Gargagliano

ALAN FUTERFAS, ESQ.
Tagliavia

DONNA NEWMAN, ESQ.

Audio Operator: LOURDES VAZQUEZ

Court Transcriber: ARIA TRANSCRIPTIONS

c/o Elizabeth Barron
102 Sparrow Ridge Road

Carmel, NY 10512 (215) 767-7700

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

```
THE CLERK: United States versus Sessa, et al.
1
              Counsel, we're recording this electronically, so
 2
 3
    it would be helpful if you identified yourselves for the
    court reporter.
 4
              For the United States?
 5
              MS. CORCELLA: Ellen Corcella and Sung-Hee Suh.
 6
              THE COURT: For the defendant Thomas McGlaughlin?
 7
              MR. CUTLER: Bruce Cutler.
 8
              THE COURT: For the defendant Daniel Capaldo?
 9
              MR. GOLD: Michael Gold.
10
11
              THE COURT: For the defendant Joseph Savarese?
              MR. KAISER: Nicholas Kaiser for Richard Levitt.
12
13
              THE COURT: For the defendant Larry Sessa?
14
              MR. SAVITT: Ephraim Savitt.
15
              THE COURT:
                         For the defendant Dean Gargagliano?
16
              MR. FUTERFAS: Alan Futerfas.
17
              THE COURT: For the defendant Santapeola?
18
              MR. ROMANO: Vincent Romano.
19
              THE COURT: For the defendant Peter Tagliavia?
              Ms. Newman had called and she was stuck in
20
21
    traffic, so she's somewhat delayed. What I'd like to do
22
    this morning is proceed to sentence in these cases. Let me
2.3
    say preliminarily that with some defendants, the Court will
    have to either upwardly or downwardly depart to accept the
24
25
    11E1C plea agreements that were reached in this case.
```

were global agreements. 1 I am persuaded from the submissions that have been 2 3 given to me that it is appropriate to accept those agreements, so unless anyone specifically wants to be heard 4 5 further, I will just echo some of what you all have put in your written submissions to me as to why I'm doing that when 6 7 I come to the defendants who particularly require downward departures. 8 9 To accommodate the Marshals, I would like to 10 sentence as much as possible those defendants who are 11 incarcerated first. So if I could start with Mr. 12 McGlaughlin. 13 Mr. McGlaughlin and Mr. Cutler, do you want to 14 step forward? 15 Mr. Cutler, preliminarily let me ask you, have you 16 had an opportunity to see the presentence report, the 17 addendum to it, the letters written by the government, and to discuss all of these with your client? 18 19 MR. CUTLER: I have, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Mr. McGlaughlin, have you seen the 21 presentence reports in this case, the letter the government 22 has written to the Court and had enough time to talk this 2.3 all over with your lawyer? THE DEFENDANT McGLAUGHLIN: Yes, Your Honor. 24 25 THE COURT: If I understand the correspondence

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I've received in Mr. McGlaughlin's case, there do not appear to be any factual challenges to the report, is that correct? MR. CUTLER: That's true, Your Honor. And the only legal issue is really THE COURT: this question of departure. Am I also correct on that? MR. CUTLER: Yes, Your Honor, with one caveat. Ι wanted to indicate we had a general objection to a criminal activity that's been ascribed to Mr. McGlaughlin other than what he's pleaded quilty to either here or in the state I'm not contesting it or asking for a hearing. I just wanted to make a general objection to it on the record before you, without going further. But you don't want a hearing. THE COURT: MR. CUTLER: No, I don't, Your Honor. THE COURT: Then I will not adjust the report. MR. CUTLER: I appreciate that. What I had started to say was, Mr. THE COURT: McGlaughlin fits into a category that other defendants today here also do, which is that I have to calculate his quideline level but there is also a question of whether under 5G1.3B that level has to in some way be adjusted to take into account state time for which he will not otherwise be given credit. It appears that the attorneys and the Probation Department are all in agreement that that's appropriate, so that's what I intend to do.

MR. CUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 1 Let me start, though, with the 2 THE COURT: 3 quideline calculation. I gather in this case there's no challenge to the Probation Department's guideline 4 5 calculation as the starting point for our discussion, is that correct? 6 7 MR. CUTLER: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: It appears then that Mr. McGlaughlin 8 has an offense level of 38. With a criminal history 9 10 category of 2, his guideline range would be 262 to 327 11 months in jail. He faces a 5 to lifetime term of supervised 12 release, a \$25,000 to in excess of seven million dollar fine 13 and \$100 special assessment, the \$100 because there are in 14 fact two counts of conviction. Those are Counts 2 and 9. 15 Is everyone in agreement on that to start? 16 MR. CUTLER: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: As of this month, I gather Mr. 18 McGlaughlin has served 41 months of state custody. Is that 19 also correct? 20 MR. CUTLER: Yes, since December 21st of 1992, 21 Your Honor. 22 That would suggest to me that because THE COURT: 23 he will not be given credit for that in the calculation of 24 his federal sentence, that what I should be considering 25 under the guidelines would be a sentence of 221 to 286

months, subtracting 41 months from both the low and high end of the guideline range. Everybody agrees with that.

MR. CUTLER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The 11E1C plea in this case provides for 168 months term of incarceration. To agree to that, I would have to depart what is the equivalent of 1 level, to give Mr. McGlaughlin the not inconsiderable credit of 53 months. Everyone agrees with that as well.

MR. CUTLER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me state my reasons for agreeing to depart. As counsel have pointed out to the Court and as I think is quite correct, this is a very complex case that at one point had so many defendants involved that literally the entire well of the Courtroom was filled. This would have been a complex trial under any circumstance.

The decision by Mr. McGlaughlin and so many of his other defendants to plead guilty as part of a global settlement agreement with the government has, while not eliminated the case from the Court's docket, greatly reduced the complexity of trying it.

First of all, there were going to have to be at least two trials in this case. This guilty plea eliminated that need, and that's a significant saving of Court resources. And as I said, the fact that so many defendants pleaded guilty as a part of this agreement with the

government has greatly streamlined the case.

In addition, this Court cannot ignore the fact that this particular case does involve complex and difficult questions raised by the defense concerning a now deceased government informant, Gregory Scarpa, Senior, and the conduct of an F.B.I. agent who supervised him. There is still pending before the Court in the related case the question of what if any inquiry should be made at trial about those matters. But whether I were to allow inquiry or not, the case would be very complex indeed. If I were to allow inquiry, it would expand the case into areas far beyond the simple charges brought. If I were not to allow the inquiry, there would be difficult and undoubtedly vigorously pursued issues on appeal.

All of these defendants have eliminated those issues in this case and have accepted responsibility for serious criminal conduct. Mr. McGlaughlin is agreeing to a sentence far in excess of 10 years for his criminal conduct. I think that given the saving of resources and the difficulties presented in this case, justice is adequately done by the 11E1C plea.

What I've said as to Mr. McGlaughlin applies equally to other defendants and so, while I may touch on it briefly as it applies to them individually, I won't be repeating this statement as to each defendant.

Is everybody satisfied with that? 1 2 MR. CUTLER: Yes, Your Honor. MS. CORCELLA: Yes, Your Honor. 3 Having said that, I think the only 4 THE COURT: 5 thing to do is to ask you, Mr. Cutler, whether there's anything more you want to be heard on, and particularly let 6 7 me ask you this, while I'll hear you as to anything you want to say on behalf of your client. Your letter to me does ask 8 9 that I commence the sentence nunc pro tunc as of April 2nd, 10 I'll hear from the government as to doing that, but 11 if I do it, then don't I have to recalculate the sentence? 12 I wouldn't think it matters much one way or the other, but 13 if there's something I'm overlooking, I'll hear from you. 14 MR. CUTLER: My thought, Your Honor, and Betina 15 Schein's thought, was that when the case was settled back on 16 February 20th of this year, we anticipated -- I was 17 incapacitated at the time, but Ms. Schein anticipated that 18 Tom McGlaughlin would be sentenced six weeks from that 19 That was our hope and anticipation. We found out 20 from the Bureau of Prisons through our own efforts and of 21 course the efforts of the Court and the U.S. Department of 22 Probation that Mr. McGlaughlin's federal sentence wouldn't 23 commence until the Court imposed sentence on him, and that 24 would be today, June 28th, 1996. 25 So what I did, Your Honor, was that I tried to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

couch something in order to catch up for the one, two and three months that I felt Thomas McGlaughlin was losing, not because of any laziness on the part of anybody, but I know the Department of Probation may be overloaded. presentence investigation report took a long time in coming. I wanted to make up for that dead time, so to speak, by asking the Court to sentence him as if you sentenced him on April 2nd, so that would save him three months. rationale, Your Honor. MS. CORCELLA: Your Honor, if I may be heard. Yes, Ms. Corcella. THE COURT: I must disagree with Mr. Cutler in MS. CORCELLA: terms of whether this was anticipated at the time of the pleadings or not. It was in fact the government who determined that the defendants would not get credit, even before the defendants signed the plea agreement, until the date of the sentence. I think if the Court recalls, even at the time they took the pleas, it was a subject of discussion. Second, paragraph 4 of the plea agreement specifically anticipated that and eliminated the defendants from being able to request that there be any sort of nunc pro tunc sentence, and I'll read paragraph 4. defendant agrees not to file any appeal," and then the second sentence reads, "The defendant further agrees not to

seek any reduction of the specific sentence set forth in paragraph 2 or to request that any specific sentence set forth in paragraph 2 commence from any date other than the date required by 18 USC Sections 3585A and B."

That particular sentence was negotiated for and was to address this problem, which we anticipated. It was clear at the time of the negotiations that we did not know when the sentencing date would be set, and we as the government were unwilling to negotiate any anticipatory date for sentencing. When the defendant came in to take the plea, I believe the Court specifically told counsel when they asked for as early a date as possible that you would obviously encourage Probation to do the report expeditiously but also properly.

I think the Court anticipated that in light of the nature of the case, this would be a complicated report to prepare and provide the Court with all the facts needed to make the decision the Court has made today, which is, at least to Mr. McGlaughlin, to accept the plea.

THE COURT: Mr. McGlaughlin, let me emphasize this to you. You've entered into an agreement with the government. I'm prepared to accept it. So I'm not looking for any reasons to aggravate your sentence beyond what was agreed to by the parties. But I'm just looking through my notes to make sure that this Court did not delay the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sentence unreasonably, and this is the first sentencing This was the date set on the days of the plea, so date. this isn't a case where I had to move it to accommodate my schedule or anything like that. It seems to me that everybody has worked conscientiously to keep this case on schedule once the pleas were taken, and so I'm not going to make this sentence nunc pro tunc. This was the understanding at the time of the In fact, I had set this sentencing date on February 20th, when some of your codefendants pleaded guilty. When you pleaded quilty on the 21st, you knew this was the likely sentence date, so I don't think there's been any unfairness here. Mr. Cutler, I appreciate your trying to do the best you can for your client, but I'm not going to grant that application. MR. CUTLER: Your Honor, may I touch on another matter? THE COURT: Yes, you may. The other matter is with regard to MR. CUTLER: where Mr. McGlaughlin serves the sentence. There are two issues that I just wanted to raise, with the Court's permission. As the Court well appreciates, Mr. McGlaughlin settled the case by pleading guilty to a narcotics

violation, which is basically, in a nutshell, almost the

same situation that he had in the state system, virtually 1 the same, not exactly the same. 2 He's serving a 9 to life sentence for the state 3 As a result of that, he's been here since the 4 5 indictment in April of 1995 on a writ. I wanted to urge the Court to allow Mr. McGlaughlin to serve his sentences, which 6 7 are going to run concurrently, thank goodness, to serve it in a federal institution. The state will not mind, Your 9 Honor, and I don't mean to be glib about that, because 10 they're overcrowded and they have many problems there. 11 But if he serves the sentence in the federal 12 system and it's running concurrently and the writ that 13 brings him here is just left as is, status quo, so to speak, 14 he will under the law serve it in the federal system. 15 That's what I'm trying to accomplish today, if I can. 16 they're running together and the conduct is virtually the 17 same and the allocution is virtually the same, I want to ask 18 the Court to allow him to serve it in the federal system. 19 THE COURT: Ms. Corcella, do you want to be heard 20 on that? 21 There's another caveat, Your Honor. MR. CUTLER: 22 Once we get to that point, I'll mention the other rationale, 2.3 not to interrupt Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Cutler. 25 MR. CUTLER: Is the fact that Thomas McGlaughlin,

who is 26 years old -- the two people closest to him in life 1 are his sister and his mother, so I wanted to indicate to 2 3 the Court through letters that his mother's heart condition is a severe one and she's not permitted to travel great 4 5 lengths. So I thought and was going to urge the Court to allow Mr. McGlaughlin to serve the sentence federally and 6 7 hopefully in the northeast region. THE COURT: Where is he now? What state facility 8 is he in? 9 10 MR. CUTLER: In Greenhaven, in the state's prison. 11 THE COURT: Ms. Corcella? 12 We take no position about that. MS. CORCELLA: 13 don't know, though, what the mechanics of that would be. 14 I'm not convinced that it's as simple as Mr. Cutler makes it 15 out to be, but otherwise we take no position. 16 THE COURT: I am not going to grant the request 17 that you become a federal prisoner. You've been prosecuted 18 by the state. If the state and federal prison authorities 19 wish to work anything out, they may. But I do think, Mr. 20 McGlaughlin, that in many ways this is a very beneficial 21 agreement to you. Having been sentenced in the state court 22 for what I consider to be serious criminal conduct, some but 2.3 not all of which overlaps what you're before me on, I'll 24 allow you to continue serving that sentence and then just 25 come over to the federal system.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'll also note that it is in fact the surest way of quaranteeing that your family can visit, because while it's my practice to note on the judgement anyone's desire to be in any part of the country because I don't want to hurt family members, the likelihood that you would serve in the northeast or in the New York metropolitan area given your record is actually probably pretty remote. So your remaining in New York custody is probably the best way to insure that your family can visit you. Otherwise, I have every expectation that you would probably be sent out of this region, even though I would note on the judgement, and I will note on the judgement, that you would like to be here if possible. It's just such an overcrowded region that I know they accommodate very few requests. Mr. Cutler, anything else you'd like to say on behalf of your client? MR. CUTLER: Yes, Your Honor. The final matter that I wanted to broach prior to the Court imposing sentence was the period of supervised release with regard to these Your Honor, as you can well appreciate, Mr. McGlaughlin hopefully will be paroled from the state system. I say that in the technical term, after serving the 9 years. Notwithstanding that parole, he has a lifetime of parole under the state aegis, so to speak.

To save judicial economy, and it made common sense

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to me, to urge the Court to allow Mr. McGlaughlin or indicate and sentence Mr. McGlaughlin to the minimum -- I think it's 5 years supervisory release, due to the fact that he'll be under the auspices of the state parole and probation system for the rest of his life. So that's why I say to the Court it would be duplicative, in my opinion, if the Court gives a lengthy supervised release under the federal sentencing system, especially since he is going to be sent back to the state initially. We feel, Your Honor, since he is going to have that over his head, so to speak, a sword of Damocles, if you will, that one is enough running with the federal supervised release of the minimum of 5 years rather than longer than that. THE COURT: Ms. Corcella, do you want to be heard on that request? MS. CORCELLA: Again, Your Honor, I think the Court had indicated at the time of the pleas that supervised release is up the Court, and we're going to leave it up to the Court. THE COURT: I have thought long and hard about It does seem to me from many things in the report this. about Mr. McGlaughlin that he would benefit from a longer period of supervised release, but I do not wish to create

unnecessary appellate issues here or prolong this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

litigation. Given what you've said about the fact that he will also be under New York State supervision, it is my intention to sentence him to 5 years supervised release. Ιf you want to be heard any further on that, I will hear you. MR. CUTLER: No, Your Honor. Mr. Cutler, anything else you'd like THE COURT: to say on Mr. McGlaughlin's behalf? Thank you for hearing us, Your MR. CUTLER: No. Honor. THE COURT: Mr. McGlaughlin, you don't have to say anything, but if you'd like to be heard before I impose sentence, I'll be pleased to listen to you. THE DEFENDANT McGLAUGHLIN: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. McGlaughlin, none of what I'm going to say is a surprise. This is an agreed upon sentence. But I will say this to you. The fact that I accept this sentence and depart downwardly is based solely on my assessment of the case and problems with it. There is nothing about your personal record that would support a downward departure. Indeed, I fully expect that had you gone to trial and been convicted, your guidelines might well have been higher and I would not have hesitated to impose Even though you're a very young man, you've them. basically become a career criminal. I have no idea whether you'll ever be anything else. You're about to spend a very

1 long period in jail. Is your family here today? 2 MR. CUTLER: Yes, Your Honor. 3 This is a terrible thing to do to your 4 THE COURT: 5 family, to have them sit here on a day like this and have to watch this happen. This was not, I'm sure, the dream of 6 7 your mother as you were growing up. I seriously hope that this will be the last time anybody sentences you. 8 I sentence you on Count 2 to 168 months, the 9 agreed upon sentence. On Count 9, I sentence you to 60 10 11 months, because that's the statutory maximum. 12 sentences will run concurrent to one another and to the 13 state time that you are serving. On Count 2, I thereafter 14 place you on 5 years supervised release, on Count 9, 3 years 15 supervised release, the maximum provided by law. They will 16 run concurrently to one another, for a total of 5 years. 17 will not impose a fine, since it does not appear that you will have the ability to pay one, particularly given this 18 19 lengthy period of incarceration. I assess you \$100, \$50 on 20 each of the two counts of conviction. That's required by 21 law. 22 Is there anything else? 2.3 MS. CORCELLA: The government would move to 24 dismiss the remaining counts of this indictment and move to 25 dismiss the underlying indictments. If it would help the

```
Court, I can state what they are.
1
                         All of the underlying indictments in
 2
              THE COURT:
 3
    the related case against Mr. McGlaughlin are dismissed.
              Mr. McGlaughlin, I would not think there are any
 4
 5
    grounds for appeal, but if you wish to appeal, you have ten
    days to file your notice. Thank you very much.
 6
 7
              MR. CUTLER:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Daniel Capaldo. With respect to Mr.
 8
 9
    Capaldo, I think we are in somewhat the same circumstances.
10
              But, Mr. Gold, let me ask you formally for the
11
    record, have you seen the presentence report and discussed
12
    it fully with your client, and also the addendum and the
13
    government's letter?
14
              MR. GOLD: Yes, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: Mr. Capaldo, have you seen these
16
    reports prepared about you, seen the government's letter and
17
    discussed it all with your lawyer?
              THE DEFENDANT CAPALDO: Yes.
18
19
              THE COURT: When I said we were similarly situated
20
    here, I believe that Mr. Capaldo faces a quideline level
21
    that would then require me to give him credit for state time
22
    and then consider downward departure, similar to what
2.3
    happened with Mr. McGlaughlin. Let me begin by starting
24
    with the guideline calculation level.
25
              Is there any challenge to the Probation
```

```
1
    Department's calculation?
              MR. GOLD: No, Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: It appears then that the total offense
 3
    level for Mr. Capaldo is 38. With a criminal history
 4
 5
    category of 1, his quideline range is 235 to 293 months.
                                                               Не
    has a 5 to lifetime supervised release as a possibility, a
 6
 7
    $25,000 to in excess of four million dollar fine and $100
 8
    special assessment.
 9
              Are we all in agreement as to those guidelines?
                         Yes, Your Honor.
10
              MR. GOLD:
11
                          In Mr. Capaldo's case, he I believe
              THE COURT:
    has served as of this month 48 months of a state sentence.
12
13
              MR. GOLD: Actually, Your Honor, as of this coming
14
    Tuesday, it will be 49 months. The arrest date was June
15
    2nd, 1992.
16
              THE COURT:
                         Is that correct, Ms. Corcella?
17
                             I will accept his representation.
              MS. CORCELLA:
              THE COURT: I think that means then that the
18
19
    reduction or the credit for that time, the reduction is
20
    really how I should put it, would mean that I would be
21
    considering a sentencing range under the guidelines of 186
22
    to 252 months.
2.3
              Does everybody agree to that?
24
              MR. GOLD: Yes, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT:
                          The agreed upon sentence here is 168
```

```
months, which means that we would be talking about an 18
1
    month departure.
 2
              MR. GOLD: Correct.
 3
              THE COURT: For the reasons that I stated in Mr.
 4
 5
    McGlaughlin's case, I would also be willing to depart
    downward in Mr. Capaldo's case.
 6
 7
              Having said that, Mr. Gold, would you like to be
    heard any further on behalf of your client?
 8
 9
              MR. GOLD: No, Your Honor. We made substantial
    submissions to the Court. I would rely on those.
10
11
              THE COURT:
                         I've looked through these and I just
12
    want to make sure there's nothing else that you're asking me
13
    to consider with sentence that I haven't particularly noted.
14
              Anything else?
15
              MR. GOLD: No, Your Honor. Again, I'm assuming
16
    the Court is of the same mind given that he and Mr.
17
    McGlaughlin were similarly situated vis a vis the lifetime
18
    parole, so I would have no comments regarding that.
19
    Certainly, I would just ask the Court to enforce and impose
20
    the Rule 11 plea.
21
              THE COURT: Mr. Capaldo, you don't have to say
22
    anything, but if you'd like to be heard before I impose
2.3
    sentence, I'd be pleased to listen to you.
24
              THE DEFENDANT CAPALDO: No, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: Ms. Corcella, anything you'd like to
```

add? 1 2 MS. CORCELLA: No, Your Honor. MR. GOLD: Your Honor, may I just make one brief 3 comment? 4 5 THE COURT: Please. I've been representing Mr. Capaldo now 6 MR. GOLD: 7 for about 15 months. I would just note that his mother, who is present today and is in essence his only family, has been 9 a stalwart supporter of Mr. Capaldo. I would just like to 10 publicly acknowledge the fact that she has stood behind him 11 and beside him throughout this arduous ordeal, and to 12 express his appreciation, as he has asked me to do, to her 13 in a public manner. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Capaldo, I want to first let you 15 know that I have read the submission that Mr. Gold sent me, the letters from many, many people on your behalf, various 16 17 certificates. I also know about your attempting to earn various degrees while you were in prison and the fact that 18 19 you've done that quite successfully. That does all operate 20 in your favor. 21 But this plea agreement was entered into because of very serious criminal conduct you've engaged in over the 22 23 years. So in your case, too, there's no surprise about what 24 I'm going to do. I'll just also mention the fact that I 25 take no pleasure in having to impose this kind of a sentence

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

```
in front of family. This is not the hope or the dream that
your mother had for you. I hope that somehow you'll manage
to turn this around and that this will be the last time that
anybody has to sentence you.
         On Count 2 of the indictment, I impose the agreed
upon sentence of 168 months. On Count 9, I impose the
statutory maximum 60 months. Those terms will run
concurrently to one another and to the remaining state term
that you have to serve. I thereafter impose 5 years
supervised release on Count 2, 3 years supervised release on
Count 9. They will run concurrent to one another.
                                                    I will
not impose a fine. It does not appear that you could afford
to pay one, particularly with this lengthy term of
incarceration. I assess you $100, $50 on each of the two
counts of conviction, as I'm required to do by law.
          The outstanding charges with respect to Mr.
Capaldo are also dismissed. Is that what the government
desires, Ms. Corcella?
         MS. CORCELLA:
                        Yes, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: Again, Mr. Capaldo, if you have any
basis to think you can appeal any part of the Court's
decision, you have 10 days in which to file a notice of
appeal.
         MR. GOLD:
                    Thank you, Your Honor.
          THE COURT:
                     Because Mr. Savarese is similarly
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

```
situated with respect to state time, I would take him next,
but I promised Judge Amon that I would wait until her break
when Mr. Levitt could be freed-up.
     I'd like to proceed with Larry Sessa next.
         Mr. Savitt, can I get you to confirm that you've
seen the presentence report, the addendum to it, the
government letters, and discussed all of these matters with
your client?
         MR. SAVITT: Yes, of course.
          THE COURT:
                    Mr. Sessa, have you seen the
presentence report in your case, the government's letter,
and had enough time to discuss this fully with your lawyer?
          THE DEFENDANT SESSA: Yes, I have.
          THE COURT: I don't think there are any factual
objections to this report, are there, Mr. Sessa?
there are quideline questions, but are there any factual
statements that you're asking to have corrected or changed?
         MR. SAVITT: We have interposed no objections
factually, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: I think the only legal issue is the
question of acceptance of the agreement.
         MS. CORCELLA: There is one other issue.
          THE COURT: I'm sorry, go ahead.
                        With respect to the guideline
         MS. CORCELLA:
calculation.
              I believe the Probation Department did not
```

accept the government's objection. 1 THE COURT: Yes. I was really speaking to 2 3 generally. I of course will deal with the guideline questions, but there are no other legal issues to resolve 4 before sentencing, is that right? 5 MR. SAVITT: That's correct, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: There is a 1 level disagreement 8 between the parties in this case, and it deals with the 9 level for the role enhancement in Mr. Sessa's case. 10 Probation Department recommends a 3 level enhancement. The 11 United States Attorney's Office has suggested that a 2 point 12 enhancement is more appropriate. 13 Is that the basic disagreement? 14 MR. SAVITT: That's the basic disagreement. 15 agree with the government, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: I understand. I'm going to accept the 17 government's recommendation. Let me say why. I think the 18 Probation Department is technically correct that Mr. Sessa 19 does come within the quideline level that would warrant the 20 3 point enhancement. But viewing the totality of the 21 conduct that the various defendants participated in in this case, including the defendants' conduct in the crimes of 22 conviction, I'm satisfied that whether I view this as a 2.3 24 departure in order to more accurately assign the culpability 25 among the relative players or whether I choose a different

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

quideline, it would be an erroneous aggravation of Mr. Sessa's role to treat him the same way as other persons who are receiving 3 points. So as I said, it may technically be a departure rather than a different quideline, but we will come to the same net result, namely that I will assume before we even get to all of the other factors, that this case would be treated at level 33, simply on its facts. With a criminal history category of 2, I believe that means that Mr. Sessa's quideline range would be 151 months to 188 months. He would then face a 5 year to lifetime term of supervised release, a \$17,500 to in excess of four million dollar fine and \$100 order of special assessment. Does everyone agree with that as a starting point? MR. SAVITT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: The 11E1C agreement in this case provides for a sentence of 138 months. To agree to that requires me to basically depart 1 more level, I believe. Is everybody also in agreement with that? MS. CORCELLA: Yes, Your Honor. MR. SAVITT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: For the same reasons that I articulated with respect to Mr. McGlaughlin and Mr. Capaldo, basically reasons that do not relate to Mr. Sessa individually, but rather the complexity and difficulty of

```
this case and the benefits achieved by this settlement, I
1
    will depart downward in his case to the agreed upon level.
 2
              Your client I gather has completed his state
 3
    sentence and is now purely a federal prisoner, so we don't
 4
 5
    have any of the adjustments that had to be made in other
    defendants' cases, correct?
 6
 7
              MR. SAVITT:
                           The only caveat with respect to that
    issue, as Your Honor asked me to remind you, and it was
 8
 9
    reflected in our plea agreement, is that since April 4th of
    1995 --
10
11
              THE COURT:
                         April 4th of 1995. That's when this
12
    sentence would begin to run.
13
              MR. SAVITT: That's correct, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: I am prepared to agree to that.
                                                            That
15
    seems completely accurate.
16
              Having said that, Mr. Savitt, is there anything
17
    more you'd like to say on behalf of your client?
18
              MR. SAVITT: Your Honor, I think everything that
19
    has to be said was already said in my submission.
20
              THE COURT:
                         You did give me a detailed submission
21
    and I want to assure Mr. Sessa that I've read it carefully.
22
              Mr. Sessa, you don't have to say anything, but if
23
    you'd like to be heard, I'd be pleased to listen to you.
              THE DEFENDANT SESSA: No, thank you, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: Ms. Corcella, anything else?
25
```

MS. CORCELLA: No, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Mr. Sessa, you've been in front of me 2 3 longer than any defendant in this case. You started out as a single defendant in this case. The criminal conduct 4 5 you've engaged in over the years is disturbing in the extreme, but I'm satisfied that justice is adequately done 6 7 in your case with this plea. I hope in your case as well that this will finally 8 9 impress upon you the need to find some other life. I sentence you on Count 2, as agreed to, to 138 10 11 months in the custody of the Attorney General. That term 12 will be effective as of April 4th, 1995. In other words, 13 you will receive credit for the time, the year and some 14 months you've been in jail as a federal prisoner. On Count 15 9, I sentence you to 60 months. That's the maximum provided 16 by law, and those two terms will run concurrently to one 17 another. 18 On Count 2, I sentence you to 5 years supervised 19 release, on Count 9, to 3 years supervised release. Those 20 terms will run concurrently. I do not impose a fine in your 21 I do not think you could afford to pay one. 22 you \$100, as I'm required to do by law.

The government moves to dismiss any outstanding

MS. CORCELLA: Yes, Your Honor.

2.3

24

counts?

MR. SAVITT: Your Honor, my only other request is if Your Honor can make a recommendation on the judgement 2 3 form that Mr. Sessa be designated to a facility nearby to I should note that his mother, his sister and his 4 5 fiance are all in the seventh row, the spectators to the Court's right. 6 THE COURT: 7 I don't make recommendations, but I 8 will note that the defendant does ask for it, if he can be 9 accommodated. 10 Mr. Sessa, you heard me tell Mr. McGlaughlin I do 11 this for everybody that asks, because I don't want to hurt 12 anyone's family. But it's such a crowded area that they 13 accommodate very few people. If they can accommodate you, I 14 know it will make things easier for your family, but I don't 15 want to hold out any false hopes. 16 MR. SAVITT: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 17 (Pause in Proceedings) 18 THE COURT: Dean Gargagliano, please. 19 Mr. Futerfas, can you confirm for me that you've 20 seen the presentence report, the addendum to it, the 21 correspondence from the government, and discussed all of 22 this with your client? 2.3 MR. FUTERFAS: Yes, I have, Your Honor. Mr. Gargagliano, have you seen all of 24 THE COURT: 25 these papers that I just referred to and discussed them

```
fully with your lawyer?
1
              THE DEFENDANT GARGAGLIANO: Yes, Your Honor.
 2
                          In this case I believe there are some
 3
                     They do relate to guideline calculation.
 4
    factual issues.
 5
    For instance, there is the question about the treatment of
    the firearm in this case.
 6
 7
              Mr. Futerfas, do you want to add anything more to
    your written submission?
 8
              MR. FUTERFAS: The only thing I want to say is
 9
10
    that the government and I agree that I believe it's 3 points
11
    -- that the 3 points relating to paragraph 45 of the
12
    presentence report with respect to 2E2.1 -- we agree that 3
13
    points is applicable and not 4, which I believe is how the
14
    Probation report scored it, but we agree for different
15
              I agree and the defense agrees to that because we
16
    agree that in relation to the overall crime of extortion,
17
    that a firearm was carried and that that would constitute
18
    possession under these circumstances. The government
19
    apparently is relying -- is agreeing to the same number, but
20
    it is relying on an allegation of a pistol whipping or an
21
    assault.
22
              MS. CORCELLA: That's not correct. We agree for
2.3
    the same reasons.
                             Then I stand corrected.
24
              MR. FUTERFAS:
25
              MS. CORCELLA:
                             We have repeatedly told Probation
```

that we believe there was an incident of pistol whipping, 1 but since we cannot determine whether that victim was a 2 3 narcotics customer or a loan sharking customer or whatever, and the offense characteristic specifically says you have to 4 5 find that it's a loan sharking customer, we are saying that it may be unfair to apply this specific offense 6 7 characteristic. Therefore, we simply do agree with Mr. Futerfas. We do believe he carried a firearm in connection with the offense. 9 THE COURT: I think the difference is that Mr. 10 11 Futerfas is disputing whether there was ever any pistol 12 whipping. 13 MR. FUTERFAS: That's correct. 14 THE COURT: Let me say this. I consider that kind 15 of conduct outrageous. But for the plea agreement before 16 the Court, I would probe further into this, because whether 17 this conduct is treated as part of the crime of conviction and therefore enhanced under 2E2.1 or whether it's other 18 19 conduct that perhaps could be viewed as related because of 20 the overall enterprise here or not, as I said, but for the 21 agreement it's something that I would prove further and if 22 it were desired, I would conduct a hearing. 2.3 But I assume that because I am prepared to accept 24 the agreement, which would require a downward departure from

the Probation Department's quidelines, and so what I'm

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

saying is that I would downwardly depart to accept this agreement if I had to, that if I calculate it as the government and the defense urge me to do so that I come within the guidelines, no one is going to ask me to conduct that hearing one way or the other. Is that satisfactory to everybody? MR. FUTERFAS: It is, as long as the denial is noted, because it is a very strong denial, Your Honor. THE COURT: I'll ask the Probation Department to note that the defendant does deny that he ever pistol whipped anyone, that the Court calculates the quidelines as recommended by the United States because the government could not adduce evidence that the conduct, the alleged pistol whipping, occurred in connection with the extortion. But the Court, because it's prepared to sentence at the agreed upon level, finds it unnecessary to resolve the factual dispute here as to whether anyone was or was not pistol whipped. MR. FUTERFAS: Very well, Your Honor. I believe that resolves the factual THE COURT: issues in dispute. Am I right? MR. FUTERFAS: I think so. The only other issue that we raised in our papers is a minor role. We raised it because I wanted to express to Your Honor that I believed in the overall scheme, the overall enterprise, Mr. Garqaqliano

had a minor role. However, as we state in a footnote to our 1 papers, the Court may find that no role adjustment is 2 3 appropriate on the offense of extortion to which he pled. In that case, the quideline calculation would be consistent 4 5 with the government's. THE COURT: That would be my inclination. 6 If you 7 want a hearing, I'll of course conduct one, but on the 8 record before me, I would not be prepared to aggravate Mr. 9 Garqaqliano's role in any way, but I'm not prepared to see it as a minimal one. 10 11 Do you want a hearing? 12 MR. FUTERFAS: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Or a minor one, I should say. MR. FUTERFAS: No. 15 THE COURT: Then for the reasons that I've just 16 stated, I would find that the offense level in this case is 17 With a criminal history category of 1, the defendant 18 faces a 46 to 57 month term of incarceration, a 2 to 3 year 19 term of supervised release, a \$10,000 to in excess of two 20 million dollar fine and a \$50 order of special assessment. 21 Is everyone in agreement? 22 MR. FUTERFAS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Futerfas, if you'd like to be 2.3 24 heard any further, I have read your detailed submission, but 25 anything else you'd like to say on behalf of your client?

14

MR. FUTERFAS: There is an explanation for this whole pistol license, how he came to get the license, but I really think in light of the whole case and what we're doing here today, it's not really necessary to go into an explanation and debate with the government about how he got the license, why he got the license. I think it's a very minimal point.

The only thing I would request therefore, Your Honor, is two things. First, a recommendation for the northeast region so he can be near his family, as I know Your Honor has done with other defendants. I would ask that there be a recommendation that he not go to a medical facility. Sometimes the BOP takes a look at the medical files on an individual and makes their own determination that he should be in a medical facility. If they did that, they could send him to Rochester or they could send him to Missouri or wherever there is a medical facility. I don't think there's one nearby.

So we would recommend that the BOP not send him to a medical facility, that he be sent to the northeast region, with the lowest security level that the BOP would arrive at in his case. And then finally that the Court recommend a drug program or alcohol program, given the obvious problem which presented itself prior to the plea or prior to the disposition of this case. That's all I have. The PSR notes

that he's unable to pay a fine. That's accurate. 1 That's all we have, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Gargagliano, you don't have to say 3 anything, but if you'd like to be heard before I impose 4 5 sentence, I'll be pleased to listen to you. THE DEFENDANT GARGAGLIANO: I just want to thank 6 7 the Judge for treating me fairly through all of this and for 8 letting me go to Florida to see my grandmother. Thank you. 9 Ms. Corcella, do you want to be heard? THE COURT: MS. CORCELLA: No, Your Honor. I believe we 10 11 perhaps stated a little more strongly our position with 12 respect to Mr. Gargagliano in a letter we supplied 13 yesterday, but I have nothing further to add on the record. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Gargagliano, in your case, in 15 contrast to the other people I've sentenced this morning, 16 I'm going to be sentencing you to 4 years, not to in excess 17 of 10. So you have a future that is immediately visible to 18 you, as opposed to people who don't see themselves getting 19 out of jail anytime in the near future. I have to tell you 20 that I'm glad you think the Court has treated you fairly, 21 because I'm very disturbed by your criminal conduct, 22 particularly given the fact that you've been a law 23 enforcement officer. In fact, I find it incomprehensible 24 that anybody who ever took the oath as a police officer 25 could engage in the kind of conduct that brings you before

this Court.

I know you've suffered a personal tragedy, but many people have suffered far worse, many police officers have suffered far worse and turned their lives to productive and indeed sometimes noble purposes. You, by contrast, have engaged in the most ignoble activities that can be imagined. I sincerely hope that many of the good qualities that people have talked about in letters to me, in submissions made by your lawyer, will prevail, and that this will be the last time you're before anybody.

General, as agreed to, to 48 months. I thereafter place you on 3 years supervised release. I will not impose a fine in your case because as I understand it, you've incurred a debt to your family to pay for the legal fees in this case. I will make it a special condition of your supervised release that during the time of your supervised release, you make a good faith effort to pay back your father for the fees that have been paid in this case. These weren't the dreams of your family for you and they have, I assume, gone substantially into debt or given up a lot of their savings in order to deal with your circumstances here. I also assess you \$50 because I'm required to do it by law.

Ms. Corcella, did I misspeak on something?

MS. CORCELLA: I believe he also pled guilty to

Count 9. All of the defendants pled guilty to Count 9, 1 except for --2 THE COURT: I'm sorry, 48 months on Count 5, 48 3 months on Count 9. It runs concurrently. 4 5 supervised release on each count. It also runs concurrently. It's \$100 special assessment because I must 6 7 impose \$50 on each count of conviction. Is there anything else? 8 MS. CORCELLA: The government moves to dismiss the 9 10 underlying counts. 11 With respect to the recommendations THE COURT: 12 sought, I don't make recommendations. I only note on the 13 judgement for the Bureau of Prisons' consideration the fact 14 that the defendant would like to be sentenced in the 15 northeast region if that's possible, and does not think that 16 he needs designation to a medical facility. Ultimately, 17 that's the Bureau of Prisons' decision, because they have the responsibility for your health, but I will note right on 18 19 the judgement that you're asking not to go to a medical 20 facility. 21 As far as the drug program goes, I have no reason 22 to think in your case that this is anything other than a 2.3 genuine request, but of late, people have found out that 24 they can get some benefits by participating in drug 25 treatment and rehabilitation and I have people who've never

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

admitted to taking drugs on drug rehabilitation. I'll note that you're asking for it. I won't specifically recommend it. As I said, I have no reason to think that in this case, it's anything other than genuine. Thank you very much. THE COURT: I'm told your client is still not here, Mr. Kaiser. Joseph Santapeola. This matter also involves a presentence report, an addendum to it and correspondence from the government. Counsel, have you seen it and gone over all of it with Mr. Santapeola? MR. ROMANO: I have, Your Honor. Mr. Santapeola, have you seen all of THE COURT: these documents from the Probation Department and from the U.S. Attorney's Office and had enough time to talk them over with your lawyer? THE DEFENDANT ROMANO: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: In this case, I know that there's an objection to the loss attributed to the defendant, but I'm not sure that it has any practical purpose except with respect to the fine level in this case. I can deal with that at the appropriate time. I think even the government suggests that I calculate that with reference to about a half million dollar loss, rather than a loss far in excess of a million dollars.

If I do that, is that satisfactory? 1 MR. ROMANO: It is, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Are there any other factual statements 3 in the report to which the defense wishes to take exception? 4 5 MR. ROMANO: No, Your Honor. We raised various objections to the presentence report --6 7 THE COURT: Right, but I don't think any of the others relate to the facts in the report, do they? 8 9 MR. ROMANO: That's correct. 10 THE COURT: Other than guideline calculation, I 11 don't think there are any other legal issues to resolve, are 12 there? 13 MR. ROMANO: No, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: There is of course in this case a 15 difference between the government's quideline calculation 16 and that of the Probation Department. The Probation 17 Department, as I said, uses a million five as the amount of 18 tax evasion. The government suggests that I use the figure 19 of a little over a half a million dollars for purposes of 20 calculating the loss. 21 Having reviewed all of the submissions by the 22 parties, I do think this more accurately reflects Mr. 2.3 Santapeola's accountability for the criminal conduct. 24 Whether this is appropriately viewed as a difference in 25 quideline calculation or a departure, I am prepared to start

with that as our sentencing figure, and I also gather we'll 1 be using two kilograms of cocaine to calculate this. 2 Is that agreeable to everybody? 3 MR. ROMANO: Yes, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: That would mean that Mr. Santapeola would start with a quideline level of 19 and a criminal 6 7 history category of 1. This would give him a guideline range of 30 to 37 months in jail, a \$10,000 to approximately 9 one million dollar fine, a 2 to 3 year term of supervised 10 release and a \$100 special assessment. 11 In this case, the 11E1C agreement is to 24 months 12 To agree to this, I would have to depart 2 levels. in jail. 13 For the reasons I've stated with other defendants which 14 relate to the case, not to the defendant individually, I 15 would be prepared to accept this. 16 MR. ROMANO: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Having said that, Mr. Romano, is there 18 anything more you would like to say on behalf of your client? 19 20 MR. ROMANO: Yes, Your Honor. At the appropriate 21 time, I'd like to ask as far as sentencing is concerned --22 my client is interested in the intensive supervision 23 program, the shock incarceration program for Mr. Santapeola, 24 and I could list the various reasons if you want me to go 25 into it now. In the presentence report, it indicates his

inability to pay a fine. It lists his assets and his liabilities on a monthly basis, the fact that he supports three children from a previous marriage and is re-married and has one child from his new marriage.

As far as the shock incarceration program which my client is eligible for and fits the conditions for under Title 18, based on his age, his criminal history, the fact that he has never been incarcerated or arrested on any other matter, his educational background, which I submit to Your Honor is minimal, and he could actually benefit from the vocational skills that this program offers. He has a stable mental and emotional condition. His physical condition is well. As stated in the presentence report, all the work he's ever done has been as a truck driver, as a garbage truck driver, as a long hauler for various companies. He's always engaged in -- I would describe it as hard working, labor intensive work.

He has strong family ties in the community. His wife is here, his friends are here, his mom is here in the Courtroom. They're all very concerned about this. He is a category 1 of a criminal history. There are various dependents, three very small children, as well as a 20 month old baby, who all depend on Mr. Santapeola for their livelihood, for their support, for their continuing wellbeing. That's my application to Your Honor as far as

the intensive supervision program is concerned. 1 THE COURT: Before I ask Mr. Santapeola if he 2 3 wishes to be heard, Ms. Corcella, do you have any position on that? 4 5 MS. CORCELLA: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Santapeola, you don't have to say 6 7 anything, but if you'd like to be heard on your own behalf, 8 I'll be pleased to listen to you. 9 THE DEFENDANT SANTAPEOLA: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Do you understand what the intensive 11 incarceration program is? You have no freedom. You're like 12 up at 6:00, your whole day is regimented. It's like going 13 into the Marines. Do you understand that? 14 THE DEFENDANT SANTAPEOLA: Yes, I do, Your Honor. 15 I was informed about the program through my lawyer, and I 16 just feel that it would -- I would be able to be 17 rehabilitated a little quicker going through the shock program and put my life back into perspective, where I can 18 19 still take care of both my families. 20 Is there anything more that the THE COURT: 21 government wishes to be heard on? 22 MS. CORCELLA: No, Your Honor. 2.3 THE COURT: Mr. Santapeola, the only reason I 24 would consider putting you in the intensive incarceration 25 program is so that you could get out sooner and support your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

family. There are four children who depend on you, and I recognize that your absence will have some consequences for them, not only financially, but I would hope at some point you would recognize that your children need a real and serious role model. You have a 12 year old son, I believe, isn't that right? THE DEFENDANT SANTAPEOLA: 14, Your Honor. THE COURT: You know what kind of temptations are going to face him in the years ahead, and I would hope you'd want better for him than what you've had. The only way for him to have any chance in that regard is if someone is constantly giving him some reason to live a life other than the ones he'll be tempted to live. I'm going to take this chance on you, but there will be conditions. I also have to tell you that I think this is a limited resource, this shock incarceration program, so I have no interest in putting people in it who aren't going to succeed in it. I know you're going to succeed in it, because I actually think that you're not the kind of person who lacks the self discipline. You don't need the rehabilitation. You need to have something click in your own mind where you turn from this track to a completely different lifestyle.

You're going to be on supervised release with

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If there are any violations, I can assure you conditions. I'll view what I do today as lenient and you will not see that leniency a second time. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT SANTAPEOLA: Yes, I do. I will sentence you to the custody of THE COURT: the Attorney General for a period of 24 months. Let me make clear what I'm doing here. That's 24 months on Count 5 and 24 months on Count 9, the terms to run concurrent to one I thereafter place you on 3 years supervised It is a special condition of your supervised release that you continue to support all 4 of your children and that you maintain gainful and lawful employment. If you do not maintain gainful and lawful employment, I will consider that a violation of your supervised release, or if you don't support your family I'll consider it a violation of your supervised release and I'll put you back in jail, because that's the only reason I'm showing you leniency. I won't impose a fine because with these financial demands on you, I don't think you could afford to pay one, but I assess you \$100, as I'm required to do by law, \$50 on each of the two counts of conviction. I will recommend you for the intensive incarceration program. Usually, the best way to go into one of those programs is if we can find when

the next one is and if Mr. Santapeola surrenders directly to

it. 1 Have you made any inquiry along those lines, Mr. 2 3 Romano? MR. ROMANO: I haven't, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: I will set a surrender date, but I will be willing to amend it. If Mr. Santapeola is accepted 6 7 into one of the intensive incarceration programs, he'll go in on that date, the program date, instead of the date I'm 8 9 setting. I'm going to pick a date approximately 2 months from now. I will look to you, counsel, to work out with the 10 11 Probation Department whether your client is going to be 12 accepted into the incarceration program. 13 Mr. Santapeola, I recommend you and that 14 recommendation does count for something, but in the end, you 15 have to be accepted by the Bureau of Prisons. If they do 16 not accept you into the program, then you have to surrender 17 on the date I set to general prison population, and you'll 18 serve 2 years. 19 Do you understand that? 20 THE DEFENDANT SANTAPEOLA: Yes, Your Honor. 21 Mr. Taveras, a surrender date THE COURT: 22 approximately 2 months from now. 2.3 THE CLERK: August 26th, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: August 26th, that's a Monday, by noon to whatever facility, and the alternative is if you find out 25

```
that he's accepted to another program and it has a starting
1
    date either before or after, then I'm to be notified and
 2
    I'll amend the order for surrender.
              MR. ROMANO: I will, Judge. He would like to go
 4
 5
    in and start as soon as possible.
              THE COURT: As I said, if you can find out if
 6
 7
    there's a program starting this summer, then that's what
 8
    will happen.
 9
              MR. ROMANO: I'll check into it.
10
                         If he's not accepted into the program
11
    and he wants to surrender sooner, I'll oblige him in that as
    well.
12
13
         The sooner you get this all over with, the better it is
14
    for you and your family.
15
              Anything else?
16
              MS. CORCELLA: We'd move to dismiss any underlying
17
    counts.
18
              THE COURT: That's granted.
19
              Has Ms. Newman arrived yet? Yes. Thank you.
20
              I didn't see you, Ms. Newman.
                                              I'm sorry.
21
              Peter Tagliavia.
22
              Ms. Newman, were you here during the time that I
    discussed with other counsel the 11E1C pleas and my decision
2.3
24
    to accept them?
25
              MS. NEWMAN:
                            Yes.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: The difference for your client is that your client is in a position where acceptance of the plea would require an upward departure from his quideline calculation rather than a downward departure. It does seem to me on the facts and circumstances of the case here that allowing Mr. Tagliavia to plead to extortion and not to any other possible crimes does confer some benefit on him and is one of the reasons that his guidelines are as low as they are. It would be possible, as I've said to other defendants, for me to consider other conduct as related to an overall enterprise here. That would more than support upward departure in his case. As I said, he's uniquely situated. He's the only person who would be sentenced to a term of incarceration higher than his guidelines rather than lower. Have you discussed all of this with him and does he wish to stand by the plea agreement? MS. NEWMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Tagliavia, is that correct? wish to stand by the plea agreement, knowing that you're going to be sentenced to a considerably higher term than your quideline level? THE DEFENDANT TAGLIAVIA: Yes. THE COURT: Having started with that

```
preliminarily, Ms. Newman, can you confirm for me that
1
    you've seen the presentence report, the government's letters
 2
 3
    and discussed all of these with your client?
              MS. NEWMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: Mr. Tagliavia, you've discussed the
 5
    presentence report and the government's letters fully with
 6
 7
    Ms. Newman?
              THE DEFENDANT TAGLIAVIA: Yes, I have.
 8
              THE COURT: Are you satisfied with the help she's
 9
10
    given you so far?
11
              THE DEFENDANT TAGLIAVIA: Yes, I am.
12
              THE COURT: I don't believe there's any challenge
13
    to the Probation Department's quideline calculation, is that
14
    correct?
15
              MS. NEWMAN: That's correct, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Or to the facts or statements
17
    contained in the Probation report?
18
              MS. NEWMAN: Yes, Your Honor, as a matter of fact,
19
    there are.
20
              THE COURT: I do have your letter.
21
              MS. NEWMAN: I outlined some corrections, some
    factual corrections. In paragraphs 2, 27 and 81, the
22
2.3
    presentence report indicates that there were 3 kilograms of
24
    cocaine seized from Mr. Tagliavia's apartment. In fact,
25
    it's my understanding from the discovery that there was just
```

1 under a kilogram. I've confirmed this with the government. Is that correct, that there was 1 2 THE COURT: 3 kilogram seized? Your letter said that your client thought there was only kilogram, so I wasn't sure whether he just 4 misunderstood how much had been left with him. 5 In going over these I forgot to 6 MS. CORCELLA: inform the Court. That is correct. There were 6 1/8 keys 7 of cocaine, so it was just under 1. 9 It's not going to make any difference THE COURT: 10 to this Court's sentencing decision, but we've gotten that 11 clarified. I'll ask the Probation Department to correct the 12 report to indicate that it was approximately a kilogram 13 rather than 3 kilograms that was seized. I am prepared to 14 accept your representation that he wasn't paid by his uncle 15 when he was a high school student, so you can accept that. 16 With an offense level of 15 and a criminal history 17 category of 1, the defendant faces an 18 to 24 month term of 18 incarceration under the quidelines, a 2 to 3 year term of 19 supervised release and a \$50 special assessment. Because of 20 related criminal conduct, though, I am prepared to depart 21 upward to the 48 months that was the agreed upon 11E1C sentence. 22 2.3 Having said that, Ms. Newman, I'll hear you as to 24 anything you'd like to say on your client's behalf.

In my letter that I know Your Honor

25

MS. NEWMAN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

```
has reviewed of June 26th, 1996, I bring up two other issues
or three other issues, actually. We would request a
downward departure for the supervised release. I can wait
until after to comment.
          THE COURT: I'll be happy to hear you on it, but
I'm very disinclined to do that. With the individuals who
were in this enterprise, I do think they need some
supervision after they come out of jail to insure that any
temptations they might face not be succumbed to.
         MS. NEWMAN:
                      I think Mr. Tagliavia is somewhat
unique in light of the fact that he has in effect been out
of jail for 4 years since the crimes that have been alleged
here. His life has been one in which he's worked very hard.
He's been on electronic monitoring for 1 year and going to
rehabilitation for 1 year, all of which he has received
praise for. He has worked very heard, although it's a new
business and unfortunately hasn't earned a great deal of
money, but he wanted to do something on his own,
particularly in light of the fact that he will be out of
prison in less than 4 years.
          -- comes from a very closeknit family --
          THE COURT: I'm sorry, we have to change tapes.
                   (Tape is being changed)
          THE COURT:
                     Go ahead.
          MS. NEWMAN:
                      Just to back up, Your Honor, my
```

client has worked very hard over the 4 years since the offense conduct. In fact, recently he opened up his own business, his construction business, and has worked hard on that, although as a new business, he has not earned a great deal of money. He has done that with a purpose, because he sees a future for himself. He knows he can come back to that. That is why he has done that. I think that shows where his mind is, where his intent is, to work hard.

He has plans to be married. His fiance is in the audience, as is his father, his two aunts and his uncle. They have been here consistently. He has a very closeknit family. He still lives at home, and but for nine months when he lived with a friend, he has always lived at home. His family functions have been the significant part of his life growing up and continue to be so. I am sure Your Honor is aware that the only time he asked to be relieved of the conditions of electronic monitoring was in fact to attend a family function. I think that shows the support that he has and has maintained.

Pretrial has gone and interviewed the family and concurs with that, that this is a closeknit family, a family in which the father is involved in law enforcement. To say that this is a disappointment, that this is very upsetting to the family, goes without saying. But I also believe that it's been very upsetting to Mr. Tagliavia, and he has

changed considerably.

One also has to consider how he got involved in this to begin with. He was addicted to cocaine, as he readily admits in his admissions to Probation. He said, I didn't realize it at the time, but now that I've been involved in rehabilitation and I've been educated, I recognize that I had an alcohol problem, I recognize that I had a cocaine problem. But it's also significant that as soon as he was arrested, before starting rehabilitation, on his own, he stopped all consumption.

I don't know if he would have characterized it as an addiction, but through the education he now recognizes that it was an addiction, and he's gone a long way. So what I'm saying is, I don't know that supervised release in this case is necessary, or if it is, Your Honor, if you consider that it is, I believe it should be minimal.

attempts as an advocate to do the best you can for your client, actually everything you've said suggests to me that he's exactly the kind of candidate who needs supervision, particularly if drugs played a part. It's too easy to lapse back into drugs and all the problems that go with it. I'm not looking to punish Mr. Tagliavia any more than necessary, but I do think he needs some supervision when he finishes his prison term.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Is there anything else? MS. NEWMAN: Yes. I also have asked the Court to consider recommending a drug treatment program. I know that there is one in Fairton (ph.) that's currently running. light of the fact that he's gone through the rehabilitation through Probation, and I have spoken with Fairton, they would consider him a candidate. Being a first time offender, being the fact that he was involved in a rehabilitation program while he was on bail --THE COURT: I'll note on the judgement that he's requesting consideration for a drug rehabilitation program. MS. NEWMAN: Also, I would of course recommend that he be designated in the northeast region. Lastly, I would ask Your Honor to allow him to voluntarily surrender. I believe that his record on bail would support this. THE COURT: How soon is he ready to do that? MS. NEWMAN: One month, Your Honor? THE COURT: He wants to surrender to the designated institution? MS. NEWMAN: Yes, or as soon as they designate. have indicated to him that it generally takes about a month. That's been my experience, and that's fine, as soon as he's designated. THE COURT: Let me ask the government's its views on that.

Do you have any position on voluntary surrender in 1 this case? 2 MS. CORCELLA: In light of the fact that he has 3 been absolutely no problem as far as I can recall on 4 electronic monitoring, there's probably convincing evidence 5 that he would not be a flight risk. 6 7 THE COURT: Is that it, Ms. Newman? MS. NEWMAN: Yes. 8 Mr. Tagliavia, you don't have to say 9 THE COURT: 10 anything, but if you'd like to be heard before I impose 11 sentence, I'll be pleased to listen to you. 12 THE DEFENDANT TAGLIAVIA: No, Your Honor. 13 Anything else, Ms. Corcella? THE COURT: 14 MS. CORCELLA: No, Your Honor. 15 Mr. Tagliavia, the agreed upon THE COURT: 16 sentence is the one I will impose in your case, because I 17 think the totality of your criminal conduct more than 18 warrants it. I sentence you to the custody of the Attorney 19 General on the single count of conviction to 48 months. Ι 20 thereafter place you on 3 years supervised release. 21 As special conditions of your supervised release, 22 you will be required to continue to participate in either 2.3 drug counselling or rehabilitation, if the Probation 24 Department thinks it would be of assistance to you. be that after your time in jail, if you've completed a 25

```
program there, that you won't need that help or support
1
    anymore, but I just think that this is part and parcel of
 2
 3
    your problem, and so any bit of help that can be given to
    you to make sure you don't go back on that track is
 4
 5
    important.
              It's further a special condition of your
 6
 7
    supervised release that you seek and maintain lawful
 8
    employment. It's the best way to ensure that you don't go
 9
    back into this particular type of life. I won't impose a
10
    fine at this time. I don't think you could afford to pay
          I assess you $50, as I'm required to do by law.
11
12
              MS. CORCELLA: I believe there are open counts to
13
    dismiss.
14
              THE COURT: I will grant their dismissal now.
                                                              Ι
15
    will allow Mr. Tagliavia to voluntarily surrender.
              Mr. Taveras, can you give me a surrender date in
16
17
    approximately a month to six weeks?
18
              THE CLERK: July 29th, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: July 29th, which is a Monday, by noon.
20
              Ms. Newman, I'll look to you to find out from the
21
    Marshals when he's been designated. The designation will
22
    probably be relatively soon.
2.3
              I gather the government does not think there's any
24
    need to reconsider the conditions of bail in light of this.
25
              MS. CORCELLA:
                             Your Honor, I realize he's going in
```

```
for a serious amount of time for a serious offense.
1
    convinced that he has not posed any problem.
 2
              THE COURT:
                         I'll note on the judgement that if
 3
    there's space available, you're asking for consideration in
 4
 5
    the northeast region. If they think you're eligible, you're
    looking to participate in a drug rehabilitation program.
 6
                                                               Ι
 7
    hope you and your family heard me tell other people that
    I'll recommend this for anybody from the northeast region,
 8
    but it's a very crowded area. I don't know if they'll grant
 9
10
    it to you. I have to warn you about that right now.
11
                         United States versus Joseph Savarese.
              THE COURT:
12
              Mr. Savarese, your attorney of record, Mr. Levitt,
13
    is on trial right now before another judge in this building,
14
    Judge Amon. I am prepared to wait for him if you would like
15
    Mr. Levitt here. But Mr. Kaiser, who has covered for him at
    many appearances in this case, has indicated he would be
16
17
    prepared to go forward with you, if you're comfortable with
18
    that. The choice is yours.
19
              THE DEFENDANT SAVARESE: I'd like to go forward,
20
    Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: In this case, I've received a
22
    presentence report on you, the government correspondence.
23
              Have you seen all of this, Mr. Kaiser, and
24
    discussed it fully with your client?
25
              MR. KAISER:
                           I have, Your Honor.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Mr. Savarese, have you had enough time THE COURT: to discuss these reports and the government's letter with Mr. Kaiser? THE DEFENDANT SAVARESE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do you need any more time? THE DEFENDANT SAVARESE: THE COURT: In this case, I know there are disagreements between the government and the Probation Department with respect to the role that should be assigned to Mr. Savarese, and that affects the quideline calculation. There is also a question about giving him credit for time that he has served in state custody, which I'm prepared to Depending on how I resolve the dispute about role, I either do or do not need to depart to reach the 11E1C term of incarceration provided here. Let me ask, other than the dispute about role, are there any other factual challenges to the report? MR. KAISER: There are none, Your Honor. THE COURT: Here again, I think that the Probation Department is technically correct, but that the government, in asking me to look at the totality of the activities of this enterprise, is perhaps also correct in suggesting that a 2 rather than 3 level enhancement would more accurately reflect Mr. Savarese's role. Whether I view this as a different quideline or a departure itself, I'm prepared to

```
treat him as having the equivalent role of a 2 point
1
    enhancement rather than 3.
 2
              Is that satisfactory to everybody?
 3
                           It is, Your Honor.
 4
              MR. KAISER:
              THE COURT: I believe that means then that with a
 5
    total offense level of 33 and a criminal history category of
 6
 7
    5, Mr. Savarese faces a 210 to 240 month term of
    incarceration. 210 to 262, because though there is a 20
 8
    year maximum on the one, I could run it consecutively to
 9
    reach the guideline level. So the guideline range is 210 to
10
    262 months.
11
12
              That would then be followed by a 2 to 3 year term
13
    of supervised release, because this is simply the
14
    racketeering charge, am I right?
15
              MS. CORCELLA:
                             That's correct, Your Honor.
16
              MR. KAISER: Although I know he will be on
17
    lifetime supervision from the state, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: But I'm just talking about the federal
19
    quidelines. He then faces a $17,500 to in excess of three
20
    million dollar fine and a $50 order of special assessment.
21
              Everybody agrees to that?
22
              MR. KAISER: Correct.
              THE COURT: I'm told that Mr. Savarese has as of
2.3
24
    this month served 54 months of his state custody, is that
25
    correct?
```

MR. KAISER: Yes, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: That would mean that because he would 2 3 not otherwise receive credit for that on the federal sentence, that I would treat his sentencing as really more 4 5 appropriately 156 months to 186 months. Everyone agrees to that? 6 7 MS. CORCELLA: I think you're capping it out at --THE COURT: You're right, I had done the 20 years 8 9 It's 156 to 208 months. aqain. 10 Everyone agrees? 11 MR. KAISER: Correct. THE COURT: The 174 month agreed upon 11E1C term 12 13 is within that quideline range, so I would not need to 14 depart. I would say that even if this case were more 15 appropriately calculated as the Probation Department 16 recommended, I would be prepared to give the 7 month 17 downward departure for the reasons I've stated with respect 18 to other defendants, Mr. Savarese, which is that these pleas 19 by you and your codefendants avoided the need for multiple 20 complex trials and avoided the need for litigation in this 21 Court or other courts of complex issues about the conduct of 22 Gregory Scarpa, Senior and possibly agents of the F.B.I. 2.3 Having said all that, Mr. Kaiser, is there 24 anything more you'd like to say on behalf of Mr. Savarese? 25 MR. KAISER: Nothing further, Your Honor, except

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that just that we wish that you impose the 11E1C plea, that you make the standard recommendation for housing in the northeast at the conclusion of his at least 8 more years in state custody. Additionally, we note that the PSI also recommends that it does not appear he has the ability to pay a fine. THE COURT: Mr. Savarese, you don't have to say anything, but if you'd like to be heard, I'd be pleased to listen to you. THE DEFENDANT SAVARESE: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Corcella, anything else? MS. CORCELLA: No, Your Honor. Mr. Savarese, the 11E1C plea in your THE COURT: case is the highest of the group of defendants I'm sentencing this morning. You face a term of 174 months in This reflects both your serious criminal conduct on the crime of conviction and your extraordinary criminal history. I don't know if anything will persuade you to change the life you've led, but your are going to be almost an old man by the time you get out of jail. With whatever time you have left, I hope that you'll decide that this constant back and forth into jail is not what you want left with the rest of your time. I sentence you as agreed upon by the parties to 174 months in jail. That will run concurrent with the

```
remainder of your state time.
1
              MR. KAISER: Your Honor, can I interject? Can we
 2
 3
    specify in the J and C the state sentence, number 7 of 92
    from Richmond County. Can that go in the J and C?
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Is that the one?
              MS. CORCELLA: Yes. Whatever is in the plea
 6
 7
    agreement.
 8
              THE COURT: I don't have a copy of the plea
    agreement, so if you provide that to Mr. Taveras, I'll note
 9
    it, because I think you're just trying to avoid any kind of
10
11
    bureaucratic mix-up, Mr. Kaiser.
12
              MR. KAISER: Exactly, Your Honor.
13
                         So I will put it on the judgement in
              THE COURT:
14
    this case to try to avoid anything like that. I impose a 3
15
    year term of supervised release. I will not impose a fine.
16
    Mr. Savarese is going to be in jail for a long time and I
17
    have no expectation that he could pay a fine. I assess you
    $50 because I'm required to do that by law.
18
19
              Ms. Corcella, the same application?
20
              MR. KAISER: I think it's $100, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT:
                         I'm sorry, $100? They've changed it,
22
    but it's only one count.
23
              MS. CORCELLA: He just pled to one count.
              THE COURT: It's two predicate acts, but only one
24
25
    count.
```

```
1
              The one count you've moved to dismiss, and that's
2
    granted. Just make sure Mr. Taveras gets the docket number
3
    that he needs for the state crime.
4
              MR. KAISER: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. ELIZABETH BARRON August 19, 2011